0(8)	-0 1308 (2)	0.4851 (2)	0 2140 (3)	0 0293
0(8)	0.2876 (2)	0.1573 (3)	0.0099 (3)	0.0360
O(10)	0.2309 (3)	0.1449 (4)	0.4774 (3)	0.0416

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

Fe(1)—N(1)	2.047 (2)	C(6)—N(1)	1.333 (4)
Fe(1) - N(2)	2.053 (2)	C(7)—O(3)	1.225 (4)
$Fe(1) \rightarrow O(1)$	2.012 (2)	C(7) - O(4)	1.277 (4)
Fe(1) = O(4)	2.030 (2)	C(8) - C(9)	1.510 (4)
$F_{e}(1) = O(5)$	2.034(2)	C(8) = O(5)	1.290 (4)
$E_{0}(1) = O(3)$	2.034(2)	C(8) - O(6)	1 214 (4)
re(1) = O(7)	2.015(2)	C(0) = C(10)	1.214(4)
$C(1) \rightarrow C(2)$	1.313 (4)	$C(9) \rightarrow C(10)$	1.304 (4)
C(1) = O(1)	1.285 (4)	$C(9) \rightarrow N(2)$	1.327 (4)
C(1)—O(2)	1.225 (4)	C(10) - C(11)	1.389 (5)
C(2)—C(3)	1.392 (4)	C(11) - C(12)	1.390 (5)
C(2)—N(1)	1.318 (4)	C(12)—C(13)	1.389 (4)
C(3)-C(4)	1.386 (4)	C(13)C(14)	1.516 (4)
C(4)—C(5)	1.394 (5)	C(13)—N(2)	1.327 (4)
C(5)—C(6)	1.387 (4)	C(14)—O(7)	1.281 (4)
C(6) - C(7)	1.514 (4)	C(14)—O(8)	1.218 (4)
	2 400 (2)		2 450 (3)
$Na(1) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(2^{\circ})$	2.409 (3)	$Na(1) \cdots O(8^{n})$	2.430 (3)
$Na(1) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(5")$	2.683 (3)	$Na(1) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(9^{n})$	2.331 (3)
$Na(1) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(6^n)$	2.500 (3)	$Na(1) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(10)$	2.363 (3)
N(1) = Fe(1) = N(2)	168.26 (9)	C(6) - C(7) - O(4)	113.5 (2)
$N(1) = E_0(1) + O(1)$	76.20 (9)	O(3) - C(7) - O(4)	126.2 (3)
N(1) = Pe(1) = O(1)	100.04 (0)	C(0) = C(1) = O(5)	1137(2)
N(2) = Fe(1) = O(1)	75.02 (0)	C(9) = C(8) = O(5)	113.7(2)
N(1) - Fe(1) - O(4)	/5.82 (9)	C(9) = C(8) = O(6)	122.0(3)
N(2) - Fe(1) - O(4)	99.57 (9)	U(5) - U(8) - U(6)	124.5 (3)
O(1) - Fe(1) - O(4)	151.39 (9)	C(8) - C(9) - C(10)	128.3 (3)
N(1) - Fe(1) - O(5)	93.74 (9)	C(8) - C(9) - N(2)	111.1 (2)
N(2)—Fe(1)—O(5)	75.82 (9)	C(10)—C(9)—N(2)	120.5 (3)
O(1)—Fe(1)—O(5)	91.83 (9)	C(9)C(10)C(11)	118.1 (3)
O(4)—Fe(1)—O(5)	95.8 (1)	C(10) - C(11) - C(12)	120.5 (3)
N(1)—Fe(1)—O(7)	114.22 (9)	C(11)-C(12)-C(13)	117.9 (3)
N(2) - Fe(1) - O(7)	76.20 (9)	C(12)-C(13)-C(14)	128.4 (3)
O(1)—Fe(1)—O(7)	96.13 (9)	C(12)-C(13)-N(2)	120.5 (3)
O(4) - Fe(1) - O(7)	89.9 (1)	C(14)—C(13)—N(2)	111.1 (2)
O(5) - Fe(1) - O(7)	152.00 (8)	C(13) - C(14) - O(7)	113.6 (2)
C(2) = C(1) = O(1)	113.3 (2)	C(13) - C(14) - O(8)	120.5 (3)
C(2) = C(1) = O(2)	120.6 (2)	O(7) - C(14) - O(8)	125.9 (3)
0(1) - C(1) - 0(2)	1261 (3)	Fe(1) - N(1) - C(2)	118.3 (2)
C(1) = C(2) = C(3)	1277(2)	Fe(1) = N(1) = C(6)	119.0 (2)
C(1) = C(2) = C(3)	111 A (2)	C(2) = N(1) = C(6)	122.6 (3)
C(1) = C(2) = N(1)	1700(3)	$E_{0}(1) = N(2) = C(0)$	119 1 (2)
C(3) = C(2) = R(1)	120.3(3)	$F_{2}(1) = N(2) - C(13)$	119.2 (2)
C(2) = C(3) = C(4)	117.7(3)	C(0) = N(2) = C(13)	1225(2)
C(3) - C(4) - C(3)	120.0 (3)	C(9) = N(2) = C(13)	122.5(2)
C(4) = C(5) = C(6)	118.0(3)	Fe(1) = O(1) = C(1)	119.5(2)
C(5) - C(6) - C(7)	128.7 (3)	Fe(1) = O(4) = O(7)	120.0(2)
C(5) - C(6) - N(1)	120.2 (3)	Fe(1) = O(5) = C(8)	119.6 (2)
C(7) - C(6) - N(1)	111.1 (2)	Fe(1) = O(7) = C(14)	119.8 (2)
C(6) - C(7) - O(3)	120.4 (3)		
$O(2^i) \cdots Na(1) \cdots O(5^{ii})$	84.14 (8)	$O(6^{ii}) \cdots Na(1) \cdots O(9^{ii})$	86.5 (1)
$O(2^i) \cdots Na(1) \cdots O(6^{ii})$	130.9 (1)	$O(8^{iii}) \cdots Na(1) \cdots O(9^{ii})$	90.3 (1)
$O(5^{ii}) \cdots N_{a}(1) \cdots O(6^{ii})$	50.43 (7)	$O(2^i) \cdots Na(1) \cdots O(10)$	91.4 (1)
$O(2^{i}) \dots Na(1) \dots O(8^{ii})$	91 20 (9)	$O(5^{ii}) \cdots Na(1) \cdots O(10)$	105.7 (1)
$O(5^{ii}) \dots N_{2}(1) \dots O(8^{iii})$	168.3 (1)	$O(6^{ii}) \cdots Na(1) \cdots O(10)$	85.3 (1)
$O(6^{ii})$, $N_2(1)$, $O(2^{iii})$	136 00 (0)	$O(8^{iii}) \cdots Na(1) \cdots O(10)$	85.0 (1)
$O(2^{i})$, $N_{2}(1)$, $O(0^{ii})$	1059(1)	$O(9^{ii}),, N_{a}(1),O(10)$	162.2 (1)
$O(5^{ii}) = N_2(1) = O(0^{ii})$	807(1)		102.2 (1)
U(J)···IIIa(1)···U(9)	00.7 (1)		

Symmetry codes: (i) $x, -y, \frac{1}{2} + z$; (ii) x, y, 1 + z; (iii) $\frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{1}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z$.

The structure was solved by the Patterson method and successive Fourier maps. H atoms were found from difference Fourier syntheses and their positions were subsequently refined. Anomalous dispersion was taken into account. The absolute configuration was confirmed; the Flack enantiopole parameter χ was refined [$\chi = 0.06$ (2)] using the expression $F_c = [(1-\chi)F(h)^2 + \chi F(-h)^2]^{1/2}$. All calculations were performed using *CRYSTALS* (Watkin, Carruthers & Betteridge, 1985) on a VAX 6310 and a PC computer.

Data collection: CAD-4 Software (Enraf-Nonius, 1989). Data reduction: CRYSTALS. Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1985). Program(s) used to refine

structure: CRYSTALS. Molecular graphics: CRYSTALS. Software used to prepare material for publication: CRYSTALS.

ENR thanks the Board of Institut Curie for granting him a Bourse Henri de Rothschild award.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: MU1094). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- Cousson, A., Nectoux, F., Pagés, M. & Rizkalla, E. N. (1995). Radiochim. Acta. In the press.
- Cousson, A., Nectoux, F. & Rizkalla, E. N. (1992). Acta Cryst. C48, 1354-1357.
- Cousson, A., Proust, J. & Rizkalla, E. N. (1991). Acta Cryst. C47, 2065-2069.
- Enraf-Nonius (1989). CAD-4 Software. Version 5.0. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Larson, A. C. (1970). Crystallographic Computing, edited by F. R. Ahmed, pp. 291-294. Copenhagen: Munksgaard.
- Pearce, L. J. & Watkin, D. J. (1994). CAMERON. Chemical Crystallography Laboratory, Univ. of Oxford, England.
- Quaglieri, P., Loiseleur, H. & Thomas, G. (1972). Acta Cryst. B28, 2583–2590.
- Sarchet, C. & Loiseleur, H. (1973). Acta Cryst. B29, 1345-1351.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1985). SHELXS86. Program for the Solution of Crystal Structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.
- Takusagawa, F., Hirotsu, K. & Shimada, A. (1973). Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn, 46, 2020-2027.
- Watkin, D. J., Carruthers, J. R. & Betteridge, P. W. (1985). CRYSTALS. Chemical Crystallography Laboratory, Univ. of Oxford, England.

Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 840-843

${exo-2,7,11-\mu_3-[(\mu-H)_2Cu(PPh_3)]-2-(PPh_3)-closo-2,1-CuTeB_{10}H_8}.CH_2Cl_2$

MARK THORNTON-PETT AND JOHN D. KENNEDY

School of Chemistry, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, England

FARIDOON AND TREVOR R. SPALDING

Department of Chemistry, University College Cork, Cork, Eire

(Received 5 September 1994; accepted 22 September 1994)

Abstract

The title compound, 2-triphenylphosphine-2,7,11- μ_3 -[dihydrido(triphenylphosphine)copper-2*Cu*,7*H*,11*H*]-octahydro-2-cupra-1-tellura-*closo*-dodecaborane (C₃₆H₄₀-B₁₀Cu₂P₂Te.CH₂Cl₂), has a triangulated icosahedral closo-CuTeB₁₀ cage, somewhat distorted from regular, with the Cu and Te atoms adjacent. A triphenylphosphine ligand is attached to the Cu cage atom and a [Cu*(PPh₃)] unit is bonded to one CuB₂ triangular face of the CuTeB₁₀ cage via one Cu—Cu* bond and two B—H—Cu* interactions. Whereas the Cu cage atom is essentially symmetrically bonded in the CuB₂ face, the *exo*-cage Cu* atom is unsymmetrically bonded.

Comment

The overall cluster structure of the title compound (1), Fig. 1, may be viewed as a triangulated icosahedron, somewhat distorted from regular, fused to a tetrahedron of Cu₂B₂ atoms with a common CuB₂ face. Alternatively, if Wade's (1976) electron-counting rules are rigidly applied, the *exo*-cluster unit [Cu3(PPh₃)] behaves as a single-electron 'ligand' L to the cage and together, 'Cu2(PPh₃)L', Te and ten B—H units generate a 12 vertex *closo*-cluster. An analogous cupradicarbaborane {*exo*-3,4,8-[(μ -H)₂Cu(PPh₃)]-3-PPh₃-*closo*-3,1,-2-CuC₂B₉H₉}, (2), has been reported (Do, Kang, Knobler & Hawthorne, 1987).



The Cu3—H—B interactions are notable features. The *exo*-Cu3···B7 and *exo*-C3···B11 distances [2.207 (4) and 2.161 (5) Å, respectively] are slightly longer than the cage Cu2—B7 and Cu2—B11 distances [2.132 (5) and 2.138 (5) Å, respectively]. The similarity of these bonding distances is rather unexpected since the bonding interactions of the two Cu atoms must be quite different in each case. Moreover, the bonding of the Cu2 atom to the B atoms of the TeB₄ face is almost symmetrical, but the *exo*-Cu3 atom is asymmetrically bonded to B7 and B11. Asymmetric Cu—B bonding was also reported for the *exo*-cage Cu atom in (2) (Do *et al.*, 1987), but in this compound one Cu—H—B bond was adjacent to a C atom and the other adjacent to a B atom.

The Cu2—B3 and Cu2—B6 linkages which flank the Te atom [2.302(5) and 2.320(5)Å, respectively] are significantly longer than the Cu2—B7 or Cu— B11 distances. Indeed, the former are longer than any previously reported Cu—B distances in *closo* Cucontaining metallaheteroboranes; in (2), the Cu—B distances are between 2.140(5) and 2.216(5)Å (Do *et al.*, 1987) and in the related compound [3-(PPh₃)-4-(SMe₂)-*closo*-3,1,2-CuC₂B₉H₁₀] the Cu—B distances



Fig. 1. Molecular structure of $\{exo-2,7,11-\mu_3-[(\mu-H)_2Cu(PPh_3)]-2-(PPh_3)-closo-2,1-CuTeB_{10}H_8\}$. Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level. For clarity, all phenyl ring atoms other than the ψ -C atoms have been omitted and H atoms are drawn as small circles of arbitrary radii.

are between 2.130 (4) and 2.212 (4) Å (Hamilton & Welch, 1991).

The Cu—Cu distance [2.6915 (8) Å] falls within the very wide range of 2.35–3.6 Å which has been reported by Do *et al.* (1987) and it is significantly longer than the inter-copper distance in (2). The Cu—Te distance of 2.499 (3) Å is notably short, shorter even than the previously reported Cu^I—Te distances which lie within the range 2.535 (1)–3.574 (4) Å (Rice, 1978; Chada & Drake, 1985). This suggests a strong bond between the Cu and Te atoms, possibly of the two-electron two-centre variety.

Experimental

The reaction between equimolar amounts of the caesium salt of the $[nido-7-\text{TeB}_{10}\text{H}_{11}]^-$ anion and $[\text{Cu}(\text{NO}_3)(\text{PPh}_3)_2]$ in ethanol at room temperature afforded the title compound in 28% yield after preparative chromatography on silica [eluant: CH₂Cl₂/cyclohexane (7:2)].

Crystal data

$[Cu_2Te(H)_2(B_{10}H_8)-$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$(C_{18}H_{15}P)_2].CH_2Cl_2$	$\lambda = 0.71069 \text{ Å}$
$M_r = 982.38$	Cell parameters from 25
Triclinic	reflections
PĪ	$\theta = 15.0 - 17.5^{\circ}$
<i>a</i> = 11.6125 (9) Å	$\mu = 1.880 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
<i>b</i> = 12.6271 (12) Å	T = 293 (2) K
c = 16.4500 (14) Å	Prism
$\alpha = 86.415(7)^{\circ}$	$0.70 \times 0.30 \times 0.20$ mm
$\beta = 87.307 (7)^{\circ}$	Pale yellow
$\gamma = 63.307 (7)^{\circ}$	
$V = 2150.3 (3) \text{ Å}^3$	
Z = 2	
$D_x = 1.517 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	

Data collection		B3	0.9670 (5)	0.3842 ((4)	0.1486 (3)	0.0409 (10)
Nicolet P3/F four-circle diffractometer $\omega/2\theta$ scans Absorption correction: ψ scans $T_{min} = 0.482, T_{max} = 0.638$	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 25.05^{\circ}$ $h = -13 \rightarrow 13$ $k = -14 \rightarrow 15$ $l = 0 \rightarrow 19$ 3 standard reflections monitored every 100 reflections	B4 B5 B6 B7 B8 B9 B10 B11 B12	0.9913 (5) 0.9593 (6) 0.9157 (5) 0.8065 (4) 0.8459 (5) 0.8476 (5) 0.8003 (5) 0.7775 (5) 0.7302 (5)	0.2254 (0.1847 (0.3163 (0.4684 (0.3410 (0.2244 (0.2811 (0.4293 (0.3749 (0.3749 (5) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4)	0.1582 (3) 0.2714 (3) 0.3378 (3) 0.1831 (3) 0.1269 (3) 0.1940 (3) 0.2932 (3) 0.2905 (3) 0.2070 (3) 0.2070 (3)	0.0478 (11) 0.0503 (12) 0.0448 (11) 0.0361 (9) 0.0423 (10) 0.0465 (11) 0.0460 (11) 0.0399 (10) 0.0419 (10)
7254 measured reflections 7254 independent reflections 6365 observed reflections $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	intensity decay: none	CIS CI2S CIS	0.3905 (6) 0.3357 (4) 0.3189 (15) able 2. Selec	0.3927 0.2128 0.3615 cted geom	(4) (11) eetric pe	0.0978 (2) 0.0393 (3) 0.0278 (7) arameters	0.202 (2) 0.192 (3) (Å, °)
Refinement		Te1—B4 Te1—B5 Te1—B6		2.323 (5) 2.326 (6) 2.360 (5)	Cu2—F Cu2—F Cu2—C	33 36 Cu3	2.302 (5) 2.320 (5) 2.6915 (8)

Te1—B3

Te1-Cu2

Cu2-B7

Cu2-B11

B11-Cu2-P1

P1—Cu2—B6 P1—Cu2—Te1

Cu2-P1

Refinement on F^2	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.008$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.0482$	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 1.249 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
$wR(F^2) = 0.1267$	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -1.341 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
S = 1.066	Extinction correction: none
7251 reflections	Atomic scattering factors
527 parameters	from International Tables
$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0824P)^2]$	for Crystallography (1992
+ 2.9792 <i>P</i>]	Vol. C, Tables 4.2.6.8 and
where $P = (F_{0}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$	6.1.1.4)

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å²)

$U_{\rm eq} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_i^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$

	x	ν	Z	U_{eq}
Tel	1,10250 (3)	0.26730 (3)	0.25502 (2)	0.05607 (13)
Cu2	0.93278 (5)	0.47563 (4)	0.27027 (3)	0.03992 (14)
Cu3	0.67801 (5)	0.61695 (5)	0.25821 (4)	0.0516 (2)
PI	0.98418(10)	0.61502 (9)	0.30370 (6)	0.0373 (2)
CIII	1 1439 (4)	0.5671 (4)	0.3455 (2)	0.0420 (9)
C112	1 2506 (5)	0.4992 (5)	0.2972(3)	0.0609 (12)
CII3	1.3743 (5)	0.4645 (5)	0.3244 (4)	0.077 (2)
C114	1.3925 (5)	0.4931 (5)	0.4008 (4)	0.0696 (15)
C115	1.2887 (5)	0.5581 (5)	0.4489 (3)	0.0672 (14)
C116	1.1644 (5)	0.5947 (4)	0.4219 (3)	0.0516 (11)
C121	0.9865 (4)	0.7128 (4)	0.2184 (2)	0.0399 (9)
C122	0.9468 (4)	0.7015 (4)	0.1431 (2)	0.0467 (10)
C123	0.9495 (5)	0.7735 (5)	0.0767 (3)	0.0575 (12)
C124	0.9902 (5)	0.8580 (5)	0.0859 (3)	0.0638 (14)
C125	1.0305 (6)	0.8707 (5)	0.1607 (3)	0.0658 (14)
C126	1.0304 (5)	0.7982 (4)	0.2270(3)	0.0559 (12)
C131	0.8752 (4)	0.7077 (4)	0.3814 (2)	0.0401 (9)
C132	0.8465 (5)	0.6486 (4)	0.4481 (3)	0.0539 (11)
C133	0.7679 (6)	0.7120 (6)	0.5108 (3)	0.0699 (15)
C134	0.7147 (6)	0.8319 (6)	0.5071 (3)	0.083 (2)
C135	0.7387 (7)	0.8918 (5)	0.4415 (4)	0.081 (2)
C136	0.8205 (5)	0.8297 (4)	0.3784 (3)	0.0600 (12)
P2	0.50903 (10)	0.79065 (9)	0.25715 (7)	0.0407 (2)
C211	0.3994 (4)	0.8122 (3)	0.3443 (2)	0.0412 (9)
C212	0.4515 (5)	0.7700 (4)	0.4205 (3)	0.0562 (12)
C213	0.3718 (6)	0.7898 (5)	0.4885 (3)	0.0661 (14)
C214	0.2406 (5)	0.8494 (5)	0.4813 (3)	0.0636 (13)
C215	0.1881 (5)	0.8902 (5)	0.4061 (3)	0.0645 (14)
C216	0.2673 (4)	0.8722 (4)	0.3374 (3)	0.0531 (11)
C221	0.5365 (4)	0.9210 (4)	0.2518 (2)	0.0416 (9)
C222	0.6324 (5)	0.9234 (4)	0.1985 (3)	0.0598 (12)
C223	0.6569 (5)	1.0205 (5)	0.1921 (4)	0.0682(14)
C224	0.5871 (5)	1.1166 (5)	0.2382 (4)	0.0670(14)
C225	0.4910 (6)	1.1164 (5)	0.2900 (4)	0.074 (2)
C226	0.4661 (5)	1.0193 (4)	0.2969 (3)	0.0568 (12)
C231	0.4172 (4)	0.8070 (4)	0.1666 (2)	0.0438 (9)
C232	0.4067 (5)	0.7095 (4)	0.1419 (3)	0.0622 (13)
C233	0.3439 (6)	0.7160 (6)	0.0703 (4)	0.079 (2)
C234	0.2937 (6)	0.8185 (6)	0.0235 (3)	0.073 (2)
C235	0.3013 (6)	0.9169 (5)	0.0484 (3)	0.0708 (15)
C236	0.3631 (5)	0.9111 (4)	0.1199 (3)	0.0589 (12)

Absorption coefficients were taken from *International Tables* for Crystallography (1992, Vol. C, Table 4.2.4.2). Geometrical restraints were applied to phenyl rings so that they were flat with overall C2/v symmetry. While phenyl H atoms were constrained in calculated positions, the borane cage atoms were located on a difference synthesis and were freely refined.

Cu3-B11

Cu3-P2

Cu3—B7 Cu3—H7

Cu3-H11

P1-Cu2-Cu3

Te1—Cu2—Cu3 P2—Cu3—Cu2

2.360 (5) 2.4991 (6)

2.132 (5)

2.138 (5) 2.2028 (11)

138.47 (13)

135.84 (14)

120.73 (4)

2.161 (5)

2.207 (4)

1.89 (4)

1.83 (6)

96.17 (4)

143.09 (2)

152.49 (4)

2.1893 (12)

Rigid bond restraints were applied to the CH_2Cl_2 solvate molecule which displayed considerable thermal motion.

Data collection: Nicolet P3/F software (Nicolet, 1984). Cell refinement: Nicolet P3/F software. Data reduction: SYNTLP (McDonald, 1984). Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELX90 (Sheldrick, 1990). Program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1993). Molecular graphics: ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976). Software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL93.

MTP thanks the SERC for funds and Faridoon thanks the Department of Education of the Republic of Ireland for support.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: AB1219). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- Chada, R. K. & Drake, J. E. (1985). J. Organomet. Chem. 286, 121-128.
- Do, Y., Kang, H. C., Knobler, C. B. & Hawthorne, M. F. (1987). Inorg. Chem. 26, 2348–2350.
- Hamilton, E. J. M. & Welch, A. J. (1991). Polyhedron, 10, 471–476. Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- McDonald, W. S. (1984). SYNTLP. Data Reduction Program for a Syntex P21 Four-circle Diffractometer. Univ. of Leeds, England.
- Nicolet (1984). Data Collection Software for a Nicolet P3/F Diffractometer. Nicolet XRD Corporation, Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Rice, D. A. (1978). Coord. Chem. Rev. 25, 199-227.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.
Wade, K. (1976). Adv. Inorg. Chem. Radiochem. 18, 1–66.

Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 843-846

Two Ternary Ni^{II} Complexes: Bisglycinato-(1,10-phenanthroline)nickel(II) Trihydrate and Bisglycinato(2,2'-bipyridine)nickel(II) Trihydrate

S. SKOULIKA* AND A. MICHAELIDES

Department of Chemistry, University of Ioannina, 45110 Ioannina, Greece

A. AUBRY

Laboratoire de Mineralogie et Cristallographie, Faculté des Sciences, URA CNRS 809, F-54506 Vandoevre lès Nancy, France

(Received 27 January 1994; accepted 4 November 1994)

Abstract

The two title compounds, $[Ni(C_2H_4NO_2)_2(C_{12}H_8N_2)]$. 3H₂O and $[Ni(C_2H_4NO_2)_2(C_{10}H_8N_2)]$.3H₂O, are isostructural. Their structures consist of discrete complex molecules, together with water molecules of crystallization. All ligands are bidentate and the coordination polyhedron is a distorted octahedron. Distinct segregation of hydrophilic and hydrophobic regions appears along the *c* axis. In the hydrophilic region a three-dimensional network of hydrogen bonds is formed involving principally the water molecules. Aromatic interactions exist in the hydrophobic region.

It is widely known that crystallization kinetics and con-

sequently crystal morphology may be influenced by

the presence of small amounts of foreign substances

(Addadi, Berkovitch-Yellin, Weissbuch, Van Mil, Shi-

mon, Lahav & Leiserowitz, 1985). In a previous study

(Skoulika, Michaelides & Aubry, 1991), we reported

the crystallization of the complex $[Ni(\beta-ala)_2].2H_2O(\beta-ala is \beta-alanine)$ in the presence of glycine. By stere-

ochemical considerations we showed that it is proba-

bly the ternary complex $[Ni(\beta-ala)(gly)].2H_2O$ (gly is

 $[Ni(gly)_2]$.2H₂O in the presence of the aromatic amines

Comment

1,10-phenanthroline and 2,2'-bipyridine. In order to elucidate the geometry of the host-guest system, we determined the crystal structures of the two title ternary complexes $[Ni(gly)_2(o-phen)].3H_2O(1)$ (*o*-phen is 1,10-phenanthroline) and $[Ni(gly)_2(2,2'-bpy)].3H_2O(2)$ (2,2'-bpy is 2,2'-bipyridine).



Compounds (1) and (2) are isostructural. Their crystal structures consist of discrete complex molecules, which are very similar in shape and geometry, and water molecules of crystallization (Figs. 1 and 2). The coordination polyhedron around the Ni^{II} atom is represented by a distorted octahedron. The equatorial positions [O(1), N(3), N(2) and O(3)] are occupied by the N atom and one O atom of one glycine ligand, the O atom of a second glycine ligand and one N atom belonging to the N–N ligand, while the axial positions [N(1) and N(4)]are occupied by the N atom of the second glycine and the remaining N atom of the N–N ligand. The geometry of the glycine ligands is as expected (Freeman & Guss, 1968). They have 'bite' angles of 80.7° for compound (1) and 80.6 and 80.7° for (2). The o-phenanthroline moiety is not exactly planar; the dihedral angles between the mean plane through the central six-membered ring and those through the adjacent aromatic rings are 3.7 and 1.8°. It is interesting to note that recently the structure of another isomer, [Ni(gly)₂(bpy)].5.5H₂O (space group Fddd), has been published (Žák, Głowiak, Kameníček & Březina, 1990). In this case, compared with the title structures, one glycine O atom of the equatorial plane is replaced by a glycine N atom, while the remaining O atom occupies an axial position.

The pyridine rings of the bipyridine ligand are planar but twisted with respect to each other by an angle of 9.4° due to chelation strain. The *o*-phen and 2,2'-bpy ligands have bite angles of 78.6 and 77.9°, respectively. Their dimensions compare well with those observed in other metal complexes (Antolini, Marcotrigiano, Menabue, Pellacani, Saladini & Sola, 1985; Poletti, Stojaković, Prelesnik & Manojlović-Muir, 1990). The bond distances between Ni^{II} and the ligands are within the ranges of literature values (Poletti, Stojaković, Prelesnic & Manojlović-Muir, 1990; Freeman & Guss, 1978). The equatorial coordination plane, from which the Ni atom deviates towards the axial N(1) atom by 0.033 Å for compound (1) and 0.038 Å for (2), shows a very slight tetrahedral distortion.

The molecules are ordered in the crystal lattice so that distinct segregation of hydrophobic and hydrophilic